

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F1	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,888 11/26/2003		11/26/2003	Shigehiro Yamada	275412001900	9345
25226	7590	03/14/2006		EXAMINER	
MORRISO 755 PAGE N		ERSTER LLP	LAVARIAS, ARNEL C		
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2872	

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/723,888	YAMADA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Arnel C. Lavarias	2872	

Belui	e uie Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Arnel C. Lavarias	2872	
-T/	ne MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY	FILED 03 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
this app places t	y was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or lication, applicant must timely file one of the follow he application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliancings:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🛛 The	period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		•	
no e	period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Avent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO	miner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or D MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
have been filed under 37 CFR set forth in (b) a may reduce an	me may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex .17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late a rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF A				
filing the	ice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since
	posed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause
(a) 🔯 ⊤	ney raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(c) 🔲 T	ney raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloney are not deemed to place the application in beoppeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	the issues for
(d) 🔲 T	ney present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
	IOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
	endments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 nt's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
6. 🔲 Newly i	proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allo	wable claim(s). poses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		•	_
how the The stat	new or amended claims would be rejected is pro us of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.	ii bo ontorou and an o	
	allowed: <u>2,13 and 14</u> . objected to:			
Claim(s)	rejected: 3,4,6-12 and 15.	,		
, ,	withdrawn from consideration: <u>5</u> . R OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affice because	lavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but applicant failed to provide a showing of good an earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nt before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. 🔲 The afficent entered	lavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ca good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. 🔲 The aff	idavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio RRECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
11. ⊠ The red See Co	quest for reconsideration has been considered but ontinuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:
	e attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	
I3. ☐ Other:	 .		Smil Farau	
		· •	XMIC Favau	as
		,	Arnel Cilavarias Antent Examinar	
			latent Examiner Sroup Act Unit 2872	
<u>:</u>			JUNE 1816	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to Claim 6 require further consideration and search of the available prior art.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments and remarks are acknowledged. However, they are drawn to proposed amendments to the claims which have not been entered into the record.